

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8825 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2025 Thana- DHAMOUL District- Nawada

Raushan Kumar @ Raushan Kumar Gupta, Son of Prakash Sao, R/o Village -
Dhanaul, P.S. - Dhanaul, Dist. - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Surendra Singh, Advocate Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate Mr. Shashi Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dhamaul P.S. Case No.34 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 351(2) read with 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'B.N.S.').

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and is in custody since 21.09.2025.

4. Allegation against petitioner is to cheat the informant along with other co-accused persons/family members on pretext of investment and purchase of land to



the tune of Rs.2,29,16,498/-.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for petitioner that the entire amount was transferred through bank and in fact the petitioner has received only Rs.2,29,16,498/- from the informant. It is submitted that the petitioner has returned almost Rs.2,42,35,182/- to the informant. It is pointed out that in view of same, the petitioner returned more money than what he received from the informant but, the informant's side is claiming now that Rs.66 Lakhs is further to be received from the petitioner. In the background of the aforesaid, it is submitted that overall allegation primarily suggest civil disputes between the parties arising out of monetary transaction. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence and moreover petitioner found involved in two more criminal cases, where he is on bail.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.



7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as primarily the allegation raised in the background of the monetary transaction, where almost claimed money appears returned to the informant by petitioner, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 21.09.2025, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Dhamaul P.S. Case No.34 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'CrPC')/under Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (for short 'BNSS').

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

