

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9419 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2025 Thana- DORIGANJ District- Saran

1. Akshay Singh @ Akshay Kumar @ Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kumar S/o- Late Dhup Narayan Singh R/o Village- Dumri Tikuliya PS- Doriganj Distt- Saran
2. Dhananjay Singh S/o- Late Dhup Narayan Singh R/o Village- Dumri Tikuliya PS- Doriganj Distt- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                                                                       |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioners | : | Mr. Basant Kumar Singh, Advocate<br>Mr. Vishesh Kumar Singh, Advocate |
| For the State       | : | Ms. Madhuri Lata, APP                                                 |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      18-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and later on, Section 103(1) of the B.N.S. was added.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on 14.06.2025, when father of informant was working in the field, in the meantime, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, armed with *lathi*, *farsa* and iron rod, came and assaulted father of informant due to which he died during course



of treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, on account of long standing land dispute between the parties, petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioners, having no criminal antecedents, are in custody since 11.08.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they, along with other accused persons, brutally assaulted father of informant, due to which, father of informant died during course of treatment. Petitioners are assailant of the deceased.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioners are in custody since 11.08.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy



of this order.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

