

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.107 of 2025

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11695 of 2018

-
-
1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary cum the Appellate Authority, Department of Co-operative, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Registrar, Co-Operative Societies -Cum-the Disciplinary Authority, Department of Co-operative, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Enquiry Officer-Cum-The Assistant Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
 4. The Presenting Officer-Cum-The Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Sikrahana Anchal, Motihari.

... .. Appellants

Versus

1. Hargun Prasad Singh, Son of Late Shankar Prasad Singh, Resident of Village- Rasulpur, Post Karnoul, Police Station Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur
2. The Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Appellants	:	Mr. Dinesh Maharaj, AC to AAG-11
For Respondent No. 1	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, Advocate
		Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, Advocate
		Mr. Bishwash Vijeta, Advocate
		Mr. Ram Naresh Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 25-06-2026

Appellants assail the judgment dated 12.07.2022
passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in CWJC No.



11695 of 2018, whereby, the order of Disciplinary Authority, inflicting Respondent No. 1 with severe punishment of dismissal, dated 13.05.2014, as well as the Appellate Order, dated 28.05.2015, stood quashed and all consequential benefits were directed to be paid to Respondent No. 1, within three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of judgment.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellants that this matter is similar to the matter dealt with in CWJC No. 443 of 2022, whereby the impugned order was set aside and the respondents were directed to reinstate the petitioner therein, in service, with all consequential benefits preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of the order. In compliance thereto, the appellants have reinstated the petitioner therein.

3. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 submits that this is a similar matter and the Court may issue orders in tandem with the order of the Writ Court (Annexure-R1/1).

4. Having given due consideration to the submissions advanced and in view of the order of the Writ Court (supra), let the Respondent No. 1 be treated on parity with the petitioners in C.W.J.C. No. 443 of 2022.

5. With the above direction, this Letters Patent Appeal stands disposed of.

6. Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands



disposed of.

(Meenakshi Madan Rai, CJ)

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.06.2026
Transmission Date	

