

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8208 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-233 Year-2024 Thana- DANIYAWAN District- Patna

Babuchand Paswan @ Alok, S/o- Ram Ashray Paswan, R/v- Marwa, PS-
Daniyawon Dist-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tilak Sao, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 10-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the daughter of the informant Ananya Bharti was married to the petitioner in the year 2019. It is further alleged that second daughter of the informant, namely, Pallavi Bharti was also married with the petitioner. The informant got information from the villagers that the in-laws of the Pallavi Bharti have killed her and her dead body is concealed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though petitioner is the husband but he was not living at the place where the deceased was living rather he was running a clinic at Nagarnausa and from perusal of the case diary, it will transpire that he was being informed by the in-laws. After that, he has arrived at the house situated at the village where the deceased was living. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 14.11.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail. In this case, a married lady has died in her matrimonial house and neither information regarding death was given to the parents of the deceased nor they were called in cremation nor the postmortem report was conducted. It is the case of the petitioner that she has committed suicide.

6. Be it as may be, the conduct of the petitioner who is husband of the deceased does not appear to be bonafide.

7. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

8. However, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail



after six months if the trial is not concluded.

9. Learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial
within a period of six months.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

