

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2536 of 2026

=====

M/s Jaiswal Wooden Industries Majorganj, Riga, P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi a proprietorship firm through its Proprietor Sukh Sagar Gupta, aged about 64 years- Male, son of Rajendra Gupta, Resident of Ward No. 01, Riga, P.O.-Riga, P.S.-Riga, District- Sitamahri 443327.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Environment and Forest, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State Level Committee for Wood-Based Industries, Bihar-Cum-Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Licensing Officer-Cum- Divisional Forest Officer, Sitamarhi Forest Division, Sitamarhi.
4. Sri Raj Kapoor Gupta, son of Late Rajendra Prasad Gupta, Resident of Ward No. 01, Riga, P.O. and P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vinay Mistry, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (20)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent No.2-State Level Committee for Wood-Based Industries, Bihar to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure-P-4) requesting therein to grant approval to the Licensing Officer-Cum-Divisional Forest Officer, Sitamarhi Forest Division, Sitamarhi to



transfer/renewal of License No. 338 of 1998 on the basis of "Sale" in terms of the Bihar Wood-Based Industries (Establishment & Regulation) Act, 2025 and the aforesaid representation is still pending for consideration before the State Level Committee for Wood-Based Industries, Bihar, Patna.

(ii) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent authorities not to transfer license No.338 of 1998 in favour of 3rd party till such time the matter is decided by the SLC in the facts and circumstances of this case.

(iii) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent authorities not to interfere with the operation of saw mill in the facts and circumstances of the case.

(iv) for issuance of other writ (s), order(s), direction(s) as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



3. Mr. Vinay Mistry, learned counsel for the petitioner
prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

