

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8528 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2025 Thana- BAISI District- Purnia

Manoj Yadav @ Manoj Kumar Yadav Son of Late Bashu Yaddv R/o Village -
Guwalgoan ward no. 7, P.S. - Baisi, Dist. - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 127(1), 115(2), 109, 76,
352, 351, 3(5), 303(2) and 3(5) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in custody since 28.11.2025 and has antecedent
of one case and the informant alleges that accused persons were
constructing house on the purchased land of the informant, on
objection the accused persons abused, but police came and the
matter was pacified, but as soon as the police left on orders of
Bhola petitioner assaulted Bhelu by sword causing injury on
head thereafter Prakash assaulted Pappi Devi by dabiya causing
injury on head, while Shivanand assaulted Dilip on shoulder and



thereafter Laxman unveiled Punam Devi.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is submitted that informant's side is claiming the land as their when petitioner and his side are claiming that the land belongs to them. It is next submitted that no doubt, it is alleged that petitioner assaulted Bhelu by sword causing injury on head but then the blow was not repeated though the injury has been opined to be grievous but petitioner has remained in judicial custody and charge-sheet has been submitted. It is also submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Baisi P. S. Case No.216 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after her release is trying the delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial in both the conditions, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

