

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8834 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-510 Year-2021 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

Manibhushan Yadav @ Manibhushan Kumar S/O Harinarayan Ray R/O Vill.-
Kataha, P.s - Muffasil, District- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Rina Sinha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Nilanjan Chatterjee, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ujjwal Raj, Advocate
	:	Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Anirvan Choudhuri, Advocate
	:	Mr. Jyoti Prakash, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2026 Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Ms. Rina Sinha, learned A.P.P. for the State Mr.

Nilanjan Chatterjee, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
10.10.2025 in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 510 of
2021, FIR dated 18.09.2021 registered for the offence under
Section 302, 201 of Indian Penal Code.

3. According to the prosecution case, it is alleged that
petitioner along with other co-accused persons committed
murder of the informant's brother.



4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. It appears from the FIR that informant received oral information from someone that petitioner along with other have committed the murder of the informant's brother. He next submits that apart from the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and it appears from the FIR that date of the occurrence was on 16.09.2021 but the FIR was lodge on 18.09.2021 i.e. after delay of about two days and the petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 10.10.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation, which is recorded in paragraph- 23 of the case diary that one video was prepared of the occurrence and in the said video the petitioner along with other accused persons are seen assaulting the deceased and thereafter the body of the deceased was found.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in



connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 510 of 2021 pending in
the Court of C.J.M., Motihari, East Champaran.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

