

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9625 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2025 Thana- KHAGARIA District- Khagaria

Bablu Mahto S/o Binod Mahto @ Bauna R/o vill - Bhadas (South), P.S.-
Gangour, Distt.- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
02.12.2025 in connection with Khagaria P.S. Case No. 353 of
2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1) and 3(5)
of BNS and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, in brief, is that the
informant made computerized typed application on 29.11.2025
before the Officer-in-Charge of Gangour P.S stating therein that
he is posted as S.I in Gangour P.S and on 28.11.2025 at 10 A.M
in course of day raiding one Bideshwari Tanti Mahal Chowkidar
gave information to him that Dilip Singh, Umesh Thakur and
Mahendra Sah were talking at Tribhuwan Tola Chowk in the
Bishanpur village near Dhiraj Motor Cycle Garrage and at that



time two rider on one motor cycle from the side of Bhadas village came there and one of them fired upon Dilip Singh and due to which Dilip Singh sustained injury. Thereafter the informant gave information to his higher authority and departed towards occurrence place. Further it is alleged that the injured Dilip Singh was brought at Sadar Hospital Khagaria for his treatment but thereafter for the better treatment the injured was brought at Kalpana Nursing Home, Begusarai.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is next submitted that due to previous dispute, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the basis of materials available on record and the case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the injury inflicted upon the injured person namely, Dilip Kumar, has stated that the petitioner has fired upon him and he had received bullet injury as mentioned in paragraph nos. 57 and 59 of the Case Diary. Apart from



aforesaid, petitioner has antecedent of three cases other than the present case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Khagaria P.S. Case No. 353 of 2025 pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

