

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13791 of 2026**

**In**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60193 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2024 Thana- BIBHUTIPUR District- Samastipur

Indradeo Kumar @ Indra Dev Kumar @ Indradeo Mahto S/o Baiju Mahto @  
Baijnath Mahto Resident of Village - Patpara, P.S - Bibhutipur, District -  
Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-03-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 238  
of BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted  
that earlier the bail petition of this petitioner was rejected by the  
learned Co-ordinate Bench vide Cr. Misc. No. 60193 of 2025.

4. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the  
petitioner along with others are alleged to have killed and burnt  
the informant's daughter due to non-fulfillment of dowry  
demand of bike.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in this case altogether four material witnesses have been examined, only Investigating Officer (I.O.) has to be examined. It has further been submitted that informant is examined as PW-4 and he has been declared hostile by the prosecution and in cross-examination this witness has stated that the petitioner was living at Delhi prior to occurrence and he had arrived from Delhi on the information of the occurrence. He has further stated that the deceased has died due to ailment. In court question this witness has categorically stated that the petitioner was living outside at the time of occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from the evidence of the informant it is clear that he has not alleged any allegation against this petitioner. Other witnesses have also not said anything regarding the role of this petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 18.06.2025.

6. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on



bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 605 of 2025 arising out of Bibhutipur P.S. Case No. 237 of 2024, G.R. No. 676 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Rosera (Samastipur).

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

durgesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

