

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12184 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-621 Year-2024 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

Chhatish Mahto @ Chhatis Mahto @ Chhatis Kumar @ Satish Kumar @
Chhatish Kumar S/O Gupteshwar Mahto @ Guput Mahto R/O Village-
Ibrahim Nagar, P.S.- Ara Town, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Diwakar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nagar
(Ara Town) P.S. Case No. 621 of 2024, instituted for the
offences under Sections 103(1) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that petitioner along
with other co-accused persons named in the F.I.R. have
murdered the husband of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There
was land dispute between the parties. There is no independent
eye-witness of the occurrence. He further submitted that in the
CCTV footage, the petitioner along with the deceased and
others were seen going on a single motorcycle, but surprisingly
no one had seen the petitioner and others killing the deceased.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. Charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 08.10.2024 and has two criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. There is specific allegation against the petitioner and co-accused Ram Bhavan Mahto. The bail applications of co-accused Anil Kumar and Ram Bhavan Mahto have already been rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 22.02.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 89200 of 2024 and vide order dated 11.09.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 38268 of 2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, **rejected**.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

