

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8071 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-202 Year-2025 Thana- MANIHARI District- Katihar

Jawahar Lal Uraon Son of Guda Uraon Resident of Jagwati (Gola Ghat), P.S.-
Manihari, District- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 19-06-2026 Heard Mr.Pawan Kumar Singh learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr.Satya Nand Shukla, learned A.P.P.

for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 02.08.2025 in connection with S.T.No.760 of 2025 arising out of Manihari P.S. Case No. 202 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 02.08.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1)& 3(5) of BNS.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he alongwith other co-accused persons committed murder to Sanjeev Oraon by way of assaulting.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has



falsely been implicated in the present case. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner has assaulted to his son and he has died. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to some petty dispute, the present occurrence has taken place and petitioner has no intention to kill anyone.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the eye witness, who is daughter-in-law of the petitioner, who happens to be the wife of the deceased, has categorically stated that the petitioner has assaulted by means of the Bamboo and he has died during his treatment and son of the petitioner, namely, Sujit Uraon also recorded his statement before the police stating therein that his father has killed his brother and postmortem report also supports the allegation as alleged in the FIR.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR supported by the medical evidence as well as the witnesses, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with S.T.No.760 of 2025 arising out of Manihari P.S. Case No. 202 of 2025, pending in the court of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-II,



Katihar.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

