

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9798 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2025 Thana- Sinha District- Bhojpur

=====

Anish Singh S/O Late Radha Kishun Singh @ Late Radha Resident of
Village- Chhinean, P.S. Sinha, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(1),
115(2), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that Mohit, petitioner, Bhola along with two unknown accused
entered his house on 01.09.2025 at 11:00 PM and assaulted his
parents and looted Rs. 2 Lakhs along with jewellery of his
mother and assaulted his father causing injury on head and even
assaulted his son who came to save his grandfather, further three
accused persons were identified.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, on account of dispute relating to *Panchayat* election. It is next submitted that no doubt the informant alleges that the accused persons including the petitioner entered his house in the night and committed theft of jewellery and even assaulted his parents and son, but then injury has been found only on Chandreshwar i.e. father of the informant, as far as mother and son of the informant are concerned, they suffered no injury. It is also submitted that even occurrence of assault is not specific.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of entering the house for the purposes of committing theft and also of assault. It is also submitted that at times there is an embellishment while instituting an FIR, but then what is not disputed rather stands admitted is that father of the informant suffered three injuries and one on head i.e. vital part of the body and one of the injuries has been opined to be grievous in nature. It is also submitted that since there is allegation of committing theft of cash and jewellery, the same requires to be investigated for which presence of the petitioner is required.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

