

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8755 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-374 Year-2024 Thana- AMAUR District- Purnia

Md. Aslam S/o Md. Rahid Alam R/o Village - Dhanpura, P.S - Kochadhaman,
District - Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections-111, 310(4), 310(5), 109, 121, 121(1), 125(A) of B.N.S. and Section 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case in short is that on the confidential information, the informant came to know that the notorious absconder criminal Md. Adil @ Babar @ Papad is conspiring to execute an occurrence and on this, the Superintendent of Police, Purnea, constituted a team and proceeded to the place of occurrence. It is further alleged that a Scorpio was seen coming and while the police signalled the vehicle to stop, the criminals on the vehicle got down and



started opening fire. The police caught six miscreants and one miscreant, Babar was found lying dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the petitioner was going to his relatives' home and was not aware about the vehicle by which the miscreants/co-accused persons were travelling and they were intercepted by the police. The police apprehended the petitioner merely on the basis of suspicion. Other co-accused persons have been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court or by this Court.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. According to allegation, there was exchange of firing between the police and the accused persons, but not a single police personnel suffered any kind of injury.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of District and Additional Sessions Judge-3rd, Purnea in connection with Amour P.S. Case No. 374 of 2024/S.T.No.



148/2025, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court.

8. Before accepting the bail bond, the criminal antecedents of the petitioner shall be verified, and if the petitioner is found involved in any other case, except the cases mentioned in para-3 of this bail petition, his bail bond shall not be accepted.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

