

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10214 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Patna

Ganesh Kumar Son of Rameshwar Yadav R/O Village - Balwapur, P.S. -
Dhanarua, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Prasad Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 1387 of 2024, arising out of Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 115 of
2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 363 of the Indian
Penal Code. Subsequently, Sections 364, 302 & 201 of the IPC
were added.

3. This is the third attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide orders
dated 20.11.2024 and 24.09.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No.
70442 of 2024 and Cr. Mic. No. 62308 of 2025, taking into
account no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which was



already decided on merit, in the last rejection order.

4. In compliance of the order dated 13.02.2026, a report dated 10.03.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that only one witness is yet to be examined. It is further reported that case is expected to be disposed of within a month .

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 31.03.2024 without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is on the verge of its conclusion.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of one and a half months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of one and a half



months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew
his prayer before the court below.

Alok Verma/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

