

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17239 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2021 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

Pappu Manjhi @Pappu Kumar Son of Jawahar Manjhi R/ Village - Sikti, P.S.
- Parsa, Dist. - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Amitesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2026 Heard Mr.Vijay Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Amitesh Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
24.01.2023 in connection with S.Tr. No.491 of 2025 arising out
of Dighwara P.S. Case No. 127 of 2021, F.I.R. dated 10.05.2021
registered for the offence punishable under Section 394 of the
I.P.C. and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against
unknown.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner
has been transpired during investigation and nothing has been
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and
till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution. Further



submits that co-accused person, namely, Krishna Ram and Ramesh Paswan have been granted bail by different Coordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court vide orders dated 03.01.2022 and 01.08.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos.41024 of 2021 and 67173 of 2021 respectively, and the police after investigation submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 24.01.2023 and the charge has been framed against the petitioner on 01.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries twenty three more cases other than the present one, out of twenty three cases, the petitioner is on bail in six cases and in one case, the petitioner has been acquitted and rest sixteen cases are pending before the competent Court of law, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts as well as the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge 05, Saran at Chapra in connection with S. Tr. No.491 of 2025 arising out of Dighwara P. S. Case No. 127 of 2021, with the following



conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

