

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8652 of 2018**

---

Hotri Devi Alias Hotri Kumari Wife of Deo Kumar Tiwary, Resident of  
Village- Post Office and Police Station- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Bhojpur at Ara.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Bhojpur at Ara.
4. The Circle Officer, Sandesh District Bhojpur at Ara.
5. The Deputy General Manager Technical, Central Mechanical Workshop  
Compound, Sheikhpura, District-
6. Manpato Devi, Wife of Late Raj Narayan Singh,
7. Baban Singh,
8. Ishwar Dhari Singh,
9. Arun Singh,
10. Rabindra Singh,
11. Birendra Singh, Nos. 7 to 11 sons of Raj Narayan Singh, All residents of  
Village- Purkaul, Police Station- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur at Ara.
12. Rajdeo Singh, Son of Late Sheopujan Singh, Resident of Village- Pirkaul,  
Police Station- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                     |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Sanjay Kumar Ojha, Advocate      |
|                      |   | Mr. Ved Prakash Chandan, Advocate   |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh, Advocate |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      13-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Ved Prakash Chandan, learned counsel for  
  
the petitioner and Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh, learned State  
  
counsel.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the



following relief/s:

*(i) for commanding the official respondent-authorities to restrain the private Respondent Nos. 6 to 12 from taking compensation of the land which they have sold to the petitioner. The private Respondent Nos. 6 to 12 are continuously disturbing the poor petitioner to enjoy her property which was purchased by way of sale deed 29.11.1979 and sale deed dated 25.5.1981 and appropriate direction may be given to Respondent No. 5 not to pay even a single penny to Respondent Nos. 6 to 12 with regard to compensation amount for the construction of State Highway No. 81 which is being constructed by Bihar State Road Development the Corporation Limited.*

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent nos. 02 to 04, according to which, the land in question has never been acquired and as such there is no question of the payment either to the petitioner or the respondent nos. 06 to 12.



4. In that background, allowing the petitioner to agitate the matter afresh, if situation so warrant, considering the statement/submission made by the State that the land in question has never been acquired, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

