

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7647 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-69 Year-2025 Thana- ANTI District- Gaya

1. Jiramanni Devi @ Jiramani Devi W/O Suresh Kumar @ Suresh Yadav R/O Village- Tineri, Police Station- Anti, District- Gaya.
2. Suresh Kumar @ Suresh Yadav S/O Late Patti Yadav R/O Village- Tineri, Police Station- Anti, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sharda Kumari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 85, 80, 238 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that informant's sister marriage was solemnized with Vikas Kumar on 16.07.2024. Soon after her marriage she had been continuously harassed and tortured by her in-laws. Whenever he used to visit his sister in-her laws house, his sister complained that all her in-laws including her husband made pressure on her for dowry of Rs. Two lakhs and for vehicle and gold chain. It is further alleged that when she refused to accede to their demand,



they all used to assault her. When he tried to intervene and want to meet his sister at Village Tineri they all scolded him and expelled him from there. On 01.06.2025 at about 2:30 AM, he got information that his sister had died in her matrimonial house and found that no one was present. Subsequently, he found cremation of her dead body, but no member from matrimonial house was seen. Thereafter, he was informed by wife of one Chandan Kumar, that his sister had died and her body was already cremated. After her death, one of her in-laws informed him about her death. It is further alleged that all the persons of her matrimonial house were involved in disposal of her dead body after killing her for dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the name of the petitioners have surfaced in the case on account of suspicion. It is next submitted that no recovery has been made from the physical and conscious possession of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the name of the petitioners transpired in this case on the basis of secret information by local chowkidar which has no evidentiary value.

5. The application is opposed by the learned APP for the State.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the



present case and the gravity of allegation of the offence, this
Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

