

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9458 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2025 Thana- Geedha District- Bhojpur

=====

Sanni Singh @ Sanni Kumar Singh S/O Ramesh Kr. Singh Resident of
Village- Matiyara Police Station- Gidha, Dist.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 109(1) and 3(5) of the BNS,
2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that petitioner and his father (Ramesh) used to force her for
doing household work, further petitioner touched her
inappropriately, on objection petitioner assaulted her by brick
causing injury on head, thereafter Ramesh assaulted by brick
causing injury on hand and petitioner snatched her locket worth
Rs. 20,000/-.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that since a dispute had arisen on account of payment of wage, as such, a false case came to be instituted with exaggerated allegation. It is further submitted that though allegation is of assaulting by bricks, but then the petitioner vehemently denies the said allegation that he ever assaulted the informant. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been is true without admitting, then the injury has been opined to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that the FIR was instituted on 24.09.2025 and the same was seen by the learned Trial Court on 18.10.2025 i.e. after a delay of 24 days, which also casts as aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection



with Gidha P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 subject to the conditions
as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail
application is allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

