

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9603 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-196 Year-2025 Thana- BOKHRA District- Sitamarhi

Ashik Bhatt @ Ashik Maharaj @ Ashik Bhat @ Ritu Ram Son of Bajrangi Maharaj @ Ranjit Kumar Maharaj R/o Village - Budhnagra, P.S. - Bokhra, Distt. - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Rashmi Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Gauri Shankar Gupta, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 23.11.2025 in connection with Bokhra P.S. Case No. 196 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 118(i), 303(2), 115(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 22.11.25 at about 3:00 P.M, the informant's son was going near the house of Bhola Thakur. From there, the informant sent him with a sum of Rs. 5,000/- for purchasing diesel. While the informant's son was carrying the the said amount, he was stopped near purana chimini by the following persons- (1) Ashik Bhatt, Son of Bajrangi Maharaj (2) Bajranji Maharaj, Son of Ganesh Maharaj



(3) Krishna Rajak, Son of Suresh Rajak (4) Golu Maharaj @ son of Gagendra Maharaj (5) Sonu Maharaj, Son of Gagendra, Maharaj (6) Ajay Maharaj Son of Vidya Maharaj, (7)Rajeev Rajak. It is further alleged that all above named persons together surrounded the informant's son,, forcibly caught hold of him, and snatched a gold Hanuman chain from his neck worth approximately Rs. 65,000/- cash. When The informant's son protested, they caught hold of his hands and legs and assaulted him. Thereafter Ashik Maharaj bit his face, and with a sharp knife slashed his throat and threw him into ditch, believing him to be dead. Thereafter all of them fled from the spot. some women present there informed me that the informant's son has been attacked and thrown away, when we reached the place of occurrence, we found my son lying unconscious. We immediately took him to Bokhra Hospital, from where he was further referred to Sitamarhi Hospital. It is further alleged that After treatment when the informant's son regained consciousness, he narrated the entire incident to me .The above-named accused persons are habitual criminals involved in robbery , looting, and attempts to commit murder. While the informant was taking his son to the hospital, at about 7:14 P.M, Bajarang Maharaj called me on my mobile no.



7485065094 from mobile no. 8969695894 and threatened me, saying that your son was lucky to survive; if you lodged any case in the police station, your entire family will be killed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that FIR is in two parts, in the first part there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and in the second part there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted the son of the informant by means of knife but injury report of son of the informant suggests that injury is simple in nature made by hard and blunt substance which suggests that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is not supported by the medical evidence and police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and petitioner is in custody since 23.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that petitioner has clean antecedent and the fact that the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate-(P), Sitamarhi in connection with Bokhra P.S. Case No. 196 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

