

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8035 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2025 Thana- PANCHRUKHI District- Siwan

Chhatu Kumar @ Babu Kumar @ Chhotu Kumar @ Chhatu S/o Rajeshwar
Sah @ Bhanaur @ Gaya Sah R/o Village - Chanp Tole Teghara, P.S - Sahayak
Sarai, District - Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Ankita Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109,
324(3), 303(2), 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita and later on Section 103(1) of BNS was added.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and in in custody
since 29-10-2025 and the informant alleges that on 13-4-2025 at
3:30 pm, the accused persons including the petitioner came and
started abusing, on objection, Vicky stabbed Buchun Sah twice
on head causing injury and Deepu assaulted informant by tangi
causing injury on head, further Vicky and Deepu acted



inappropriately with his daughter, thereafter Deepu assaulted Suganti by knife causing injury on head and face, while petitioner, a driver in the police station, assaulted Ramesh by rod causing injury on head and Ashok assaulted Manish by rod causing injury on head, further Rajesh brought gun and gadasa and gave to Abhishek and both entered the house and assaulted, further Rupesh also assaulted Ramesh, while Ompraksah along with Amar took ornaments and cash.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, being a contractual driver with the Police Station. It is next submitted that no doubt it is alleged that petitioner assaulted Ramesh by rod causing injury on head, but then from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would also manifest that even Rupesh is alleged to have assaulted Ramesh. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by Ramesh has been opined to be simple in nature, as would manifest from injury report of Ramesh annexed as Annexure-P/3. It is also submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove his innocence.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Panchrukhi (Sarai) P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

