

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10008 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-560 Year-2025 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

=====

Rani Kumari Wife of Satyendra Kumar R/o - Milkipar, P.S - Ghoshi, District -
Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Binod Murari Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP
	Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 21-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State
through Virtual Mode.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection
with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 560 of 2025 dated 23.10.2025
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and
3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that she
along with two others got into an altercation with the maternal
grandfather of the informant namely, Sita Ram Yadav on



account of dispute for throwing of garbage and later, it is alleged that the accused persons including the petitioner assaulted the informant's maternal grandfather by means of bricks and stones and resulting in his death.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It has been stated that the petitioner was the lady and in fact the altercation has taken place on account of some dispute and on account of the fact that the maternal grandfather fell down and received such injuries and later died during the course of treatment. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a lady and she was not involved in the said incident and merely to indicate every persons in the family even the petitioner has been made as an accused who carries clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also taking into account that there is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioner, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within a



period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ghoshi P.S. Case No. 560 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of BNSS as well as subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) The trial court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case, at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the trial court shall take necessary steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in



the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

