

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7832 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2025 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Madhuri Devi W/o Yogendra Kumar R/o Village - Bhuapur, P.S - Kinjer,
District - Arwal According to FIR R/o Village - Elimpur, P.S - Makhdumpur,
District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and Mr. Ashok Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case, informant alleged that marriage of his sister was solemnized with co-accused Tuntun Bind in the year 2018 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and harassment with



the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed murder of the victim.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because she happens to be married sister-in-law (*nanad*) of the deceased. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concerns with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 06.11.2025. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.



7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

