

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9420 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-733 Year-2025 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

Bharat Yadav @ Bharat Kumar @ Bharat Nat Son of Late Butan Nat R/o
Village - Kayam Nagar, P.S. - Koilwar, Dist. - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
04.12.2025 in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 733
of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that it is case of
the informant Raju Kuamr Sharma is that on 11.11.2025 at
about 9.00 AM in morning when informant was going towards
Ramna Shahid Bhawan and in the meantime petitioner Bharat
Bhawan and in the meantime petitioner Bharat Yadav riding on
Swift Desire vehicle bearing registration No. BR01JE3674
alongiwth four other persons and three persons riding on a
motorcycle started abusing the informant and snatched Rs



48000/- cash and golden chain from his neck. It is alleged that petitioner Bharat Yadav assaulted repeatedly by iron rod on the head of the informant due to which informant got total 14 stitches on his head Bharat Yadav and seven unknown persons among them two to three persons he may be identified Bharat Yadav is the resident of Kayamnagar his chain cost is 2,50,000 Rs. that has been snatched by Bharat Yadav when his relative reached there Bharat Yadav fled away alongwith his gang and also threatened to kill him and his family He also operating a gang of snatching and said he shall not follow the law all persons having the pistol along with them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that due to some petty dispute the present occurrence has taken place. It is next submitted that although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted the informant by means of iron rod and the informant has received the injury but the injury



sustained by the informant is found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. It is next submitted that there is no intention on the part of the petitioner to kill anyone and the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and petitioner is in custody since 04.12.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner is a person with clean and the injury inflicted upon the informant is simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of District and learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 733 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.



ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

