

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7605 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2025 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

Bhirendra Yadav @ Bhirendra Yadav S/o Late Ramlakhan Yadav R/o Village  
- Malahchak, P.S - Parasbigha, District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP             |
| For the informant    | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Advocate  |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      09-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Parasbigha P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, some unknown miscreants killed the husband of the informant when he had gone to the agricultural field to irrigate the same. The deceased sustained a gunshot injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is not



named in the FIR and he has been made accused in this case only on the basis of his self confessional statement before police while he was in police custody, which has got no evidentiary value. It has also been submitted that there is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence of murder. No suspicion was initially raised against the petitioner; however, after a month, suspicion was raised against him in connection with a prior dispute. It has further been submitted that, apart from mere suspicion, there is no material on record against the petitioner to establish his complicity in the said occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner has clean criminal antecedent and is in custody since 23.09.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant has further submitted that there are strong suspicion against the petitioner supported with electronic evidence.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the facts and circumstance of the case, let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of  
learned Court concerned, Jehanabad, in connection with  
Parasbigha P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Praveen Kumar, J)**

Jyoti/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

