

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9448 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2025 Thana- BIND District- Nalanda

Vicky Kumar S/O Dilip Paswan R/O Village- Saidpur, P.S- Bind, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ritesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 08-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2) and 352 of the BNS, 2023.
3. The Investigating Officer of the case, in compliance of the order dated 17.04.2026, is present in the Court.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by an iron rod causing injury on head, but then it is submitted that the blow was not repeated and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that five accused persons are alleged to have assaulted, but then specific allegation of assaulting the informant on head is against the petitioner. It is next submitted



that accused persons and the informant are friends and an altercation took place on account of dispute relating to passage, on account of which a scuffle took place and the occurrence got committed, but then it is submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. The Investigating Officer of the case, who is present in the Court, also concurs to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that informant and accused persons are friends and on account of dispute relating to passage, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature, but then the informant suffered injury on back also, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted the informant on his back. It is next submitted if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bind P.S. Case No. 200 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

10. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

11. The personal appearance of the Investigating Officer of the case is dispensed with.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

