

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8401 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- KHAJAUULI District- Madhubani

-
1. Priyanka Kumari D/o- Sri Ram Pandit @ Ram Pandit R/v- Khajauli Ps- Khajauli Dist- Madhubani
 2. Manisha Devi @ Manasi Devi @ Manasi Kumari W/o- Govind Pandit R/v- Khajauli Ps- Khajauli Dist- Madhubani
 3. Rinku Kumari D/o- Sri Ram Pandit @ Ram Pandit R/v- Khajauli Ps- Khajauli Dist- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bhavesh Kumar Sah, Adv. Mr. Sumit Kumar Singh, Adv. Ms. Archana Anand, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Ms. Anita Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Khajauli P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) under Section(s) 126(2), 115(2), 109, 329(4), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Indian Penal Code/Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.).

3. The allegation against the petitioners are that they had assaulted the informant with *lathi-danda* when an



altercation had taken place apart from further allegations against other co-accused persons to have fired upon the father-in-law of the informant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are lady members of the family of the accused persons and they have falsely been implicated in this case with superficial allegations. It has further been submitted that even from the perusal of the impugned order, it would be evident that there is no reference of any injury report with regard to the informant. It has next been submitted that there is general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners and nothing specific has been alleged against them, who are, admittedly, the lady members of the house. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioners, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned Court/successor Court within a period of four weeks from today,



on their furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/successor Court where the case is pending in connection with Khajauli P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with corresponding Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.S.) as well as subject to the following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative and the other shall be a local resident.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence for two consecutive occasions or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the concerned Court.

(iv) The concerned Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case, at any stage, it is found that he has concealed their criminal antecedent, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for cancellation of their bail bond. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.



8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Praveen-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

