

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10806 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2023 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

Sonu Saw @ Rajan @ Jatta Son of Chhotu Sao @ Chhote Sao Resident of  
Village - Khasganj, P.S. - Sohsarai, Distt - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Indihar Kumari,APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-07-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,  
149, 341, 323, 504, 506, 353, 307, 153(A) of the Indian Penal  
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean  
antecedent and the informant alleges that he received an  
information that dispute had arisen between two communities at  
Badi Mosque, accordingly he reached the place of occurrence at  
08:20 AM on 01.04.2023 where Officer Incharge Sohsarai P.S.  
along with police force was present, further 140-150 accused  
were also present at the place of occurrence and the situation



was tensed and from side of one community even firing was resorted to, accordingly FIR was registered against 19 named accused persons and 140-150 unknown accused. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired during the course of investigation, it is further submitted that petitioner resides nearby to the place of occurrence, as such, out of inquisitiveness had also come to the place of occurrence to witness the occurrence when he came to be implicated. It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions and also taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Sohسرائ



P.S. Case No. 101 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid  
down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S

**6. This application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

nitesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

