

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7657 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-724 Year-2025 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Nitesh Kumar Son of Kamlesh Singh R/o Village - Chapuk, P.S. - Goh,
District - Aurangabad(Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, A.P.P.

For the Informant : Mr. Suman Saurabh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor

for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 22.11.2025 at 15:00 hours, Sonali Singh and Tara Devi entered into altercation with the informant Madhu Singh regarding parking of bicycle. She further alleged that on 19:50 hours the named accused persons including the petitioner and 6-7 unknown persons armed with sword, knife, lathi and danda entered into the house of the informant and started abusing her, in the meantime, Nitesh Kumar stabbed on the head of the informant leading to head injury. Co-accused Dipak Kumar assaulted on head of informant's



husband namely Rakesh Kumar Singh by means of sword as a result of which he sustained head injury. Chhotu Kumar assaulted Shubham Kumar and Kalni Devi. Accused persons snatched the gold chain of the informant's son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as the injury report of the informant and her husband namely Rakesh Kumar Singh negates the prosecution story as both have one injury on head which is a lacerated wound caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. The application is opposed by the learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

6. Considering the fact that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of having stabbed on the head of the informant with an intention to kill her which is a vital part of the body which find support from the injury report, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, it is accordingly rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

