

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7598 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-317 Year-2012 Thana- KADAMKUAN District- Patna

=====

Akash Agrawal @ Aakashdeep Agrawal @ Dr. Akashdeep Agarwal S/o Mahavir Prasad R/o Village - Chaas, P.S - Chass, District - Bokaro, State - Jharkhand, At Present resident of - 6, Harnet Street, Brunsvik, 04011 Main, United State of America

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dr. Shravan Kumar S/o Late Ram Gopal Motani Resident of - Arya Kumar Road, Rajendra Nagar, P.S - Kadamkuan, District - Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr.Adv.
		Mr. Rakesh Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Kalyan Shankar, APP
For the O.P.-2	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 01-07-2026 Perused the show-cause explanation, submitted by A.S.O. and S.O. of Cr.Misc (Pending) Section, in compliance of order dated 01.04.2026 passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court. Same is accepted.

2. Heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 498-A, 418, 506, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 & 4 of the



Dowry Prohibition Act.

4. Allegation against petitioner is of torture and harassment to daughter of opposite party no. 2 on account of non-fulfillment of additional demand of dowry.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of daughter of opposite party no. 2. At no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed torture with opposite party no. 2. Petitioner as well as daughter of O.P. No. 2 are doctor and permanent resident of U.S.A. and no such occurrence, as alleged in the F.I.R., ever took place. As a matter of fact, petitioner had filed a divorce case at Bath District Court in Maine (U.S.A.) and on 28.06.2012, divorce judgment was delivered, against which, daughter of O.P. No. 2 filed appeal on 16.08.2012, which was dismissed on 19.02.2013. The present F.I.R. was lodged on 07.09.2012 i.e. after filing of appeal by daughter of O.P.No.2 against decree of divorce (divorce order), hence present case is itself an abuse of the process of law.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances,



in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of **four months** from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.20,000/-** (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. - XII, Patna in connection with Kadamkuan P.S. Case No. 317 of 2012, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482 of the B.N.S.S.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

