

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8109 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-273 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

1. Aniket Rai S/o Vikram Rai Resident of village - Delho, P.S.- Madhuban, Distt.- East Champaran
2. Akash Kumar @ Raja Kumar S/o Satyendra Rai Resident of village - Delho, P.S.- Madhuban, Distt.- East Champaran
3. Vikram Rai S/o Late Ram Vilash Rai Resident of village - Delho, P.S.- Madhuban, Distt.- East Champaran
4. Satyendra Rai S/o Late Dharikshan Rai Resident of village - Delho, P.S.- Madhuban, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No.273 of 2024 under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115, 117, 109, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the BNS, 2023, which is pending before the court of Judicial Magistrate-1st class, Sadar at Motihari, East Champaran.

3. As per the prosecution, the FIR has been lodged



against six named accused persons including the petitioners with allegation that they have assaulted the informant and her son with lathi -danda and pipe of the rifle due to which injury has been caused. They also snatched her mangalsutra and Rs.10,000/- from her bag and pulled off her clothes and torn them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. He submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that both the parties are neighbour and due to land dispute, the said occurrence took place.

5. Counsel also submits that antecedent of the petitioners is clean. He further submits that the injury caused to the son of the informant was simple except injury No.4. which is grievous in nature.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and the petitioners are named in the FIR. There is specific allegation against the petitioners.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, therefore the bail application of the petitioners is hereby rejected.



8. However, trial court is directed to consider the regular bail application of the petitioners, if they surrender within six weeks and pray for regular bail, then trial court shall pass order on merit, without being prejudice of the present order.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

