

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12329 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Shashi Kumar @ Shashi Mahto S/o Mundrika Mahto @ Mundrika Singh
Resident of Village- Dahiyar, P.S.- Sasaram (Muffasil), District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashutosh Tripathy
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018 and 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of nine case, out of which, eight cases are under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 30 litres of liquor from a place near bank of kau river and 2.200 litres of liquor from house of Reshma Devi. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to villagers at large and he has no concern or



relation with Reshma Devi and he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom he is on an inimical term. It is also submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either through chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.45,000/- (Rupees forty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sasaram (Muffasil) P.S. Case No.400/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than nine cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner



had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of nine cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

