

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6581 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2025 Thana- Piplawa District- Patna

=====

Kartik Kumar Gupta Son of Sheo Shankar Sao Resident of Village- Shyam
Sundar Lane, P.S.- Jakkanpur, Dist.- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
23.11.2025 in connection with Piplawan P.S. Case No. 174 of
2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 281 and 105 of
BNS.

3. That the prosecution case, in brief, is that on
22.11.2023 in the morning the informant namely Vinod Kumar
got information that the body of his uncle was lying on the side
of Piplawan Masaurhi main road ahead of sona chak turn. The
informant reached at the spot and found huge crowd gathering
there. From the local people he came to know that last night i.e.
21.11.2025 at around 7:45 P.M. a cyclist met an accident with a
tempo bearing registration number BR-01PK0416 near sona
chak turn and the cyclist was injured body. Thereafter, the local
people loaded the injured on that tempo and sent to Piplawan



market for treatment instead of treating the injured the tempo driver took him back there intentionally and left him on the road side for dying.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the vehicle in question and he has been made an accused in this based on suspicion. It is next submitted that even the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is next submitted that the police after investigation submitted charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 23.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-
IV, Danapur in connection with Piplawan P.S. Case No. 174 of
2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

