

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11357 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-327 Year-2024 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Vaishali

Umesh Ray S/o Kailash Rai R/o Village - Karhari, P.S - Bhagwanpur, District
- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr.R.K. Shukla, Sr. Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 327 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109, 352 r/w 3(5) of the B.N.S. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 16.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 17239 of 2025 which reads as under:-

Heard the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, learned APP appearing for the State and the learned senior counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 327 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109, 352, 103(1) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The petitioner and one Shankar Ray are said to have assaulted the victim on his head with a hard and blunt object. The injury report has also supported the prosecution allegation.



4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He is in custody since 27.11.2024.

5. Learned senior counsel for the informant, Mr. Shukla has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has taken this Court to the post-mortem report which shows that the deceased needed 37 stitches during the course of treatment.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner may be granted bail as he is in jail since 27.11.2024.

4. Mr. R.K. Shukla, learned Senior Counsel for the informant submits that the case has been committed and the prosecution will cooperate in the trial and will examine the witnesses on the dates fixed after the charges are framed.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed again with liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail if the prosecution delays the trial.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

