

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7026 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2025 Thana- JURAWANPUR District- Vaishali

Vishwakarma Kumar @ Deepak Kumar @ Deepak @ Vish Karma Kumar
S/O Raj Kumar Ray R/O Vill.- Jurawanpur Barati, P.S.- Jurawanpur, District-
Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravish Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rajni Kant, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 324(4) and
3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 and 27 of the
Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, on 19.09.2025 at about
9:30 PM, this petitioner, along with another F.I.R. named and
five unknown accused persons, came to the shop of informant
and thereafter, this petitioner fired on the C.C.T.V. camera and
destroyed the same and forcibly closed the shop and threatened



the informant. It is further alleged that on 20.09.2025, the accused persons again came to the shop of informant, fired three bullets and again threatened the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, along with other accused persons, came to the shop of informant, fired and destroyed the C.C.T.V. camera and threatened the informant. Petitioner has got two criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and criminal antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

