IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12111 of 2016

CNR No. BRHC010085722016

==========

Ashok Kumar Sinha, S/o Sreenandan Prasad Sinha, resident of Mohalla - Sahja Nand Nagar, Bhagwanpur Chowk, P.S. - Sadar, Distt. - Muzaffarpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Deptt. of Road Transport, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Secretary, Deptt. of Road Transport, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief of Administration, Bihar State Board Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Vir Chand Patel Path, Patna
5. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Vir Chand Patel Path, Patna.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, AAG – 7
		Mr. Raushan Abhishek, AC to AAG – 7
For the BSRTC	:	Mr. P. K. Verma, Sr. Advocate
		Dr. Mankeshwar Tiwari, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANA VIKRAM SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 06-11-2026 Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that it would be suffice, if he is allowed to file a representation before the competent authority for considering his case for appointment on the vacant post of Divisional Manager in the Bihar Road Transport Corporation.

2. Mr. P. K. Verma, learned Senior Counsel and Addl. Advocate General No. 3, appearing on behalf of the Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, submits that the very prayer made in this writ application appears to be misconceived because the petitioner cannot claim as a matter of right that the

WEB COPY

direction be issued to the concerned department to initiate process for filling up the vacancies.

3. Mr. Raushan Abhishek, learned assisting counsel to Mr. Ajay Bihari Sinha, learned Additional Advocate General 7, submits that this writ application is not maintainable on account of its unique prayer which is misconceived in nature.

4. However, considering the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, who, at the very outset, seeks liberty of this Court to afford him an opportunity to file a proper representation before the competent authority to consider his claim in consonance with the law, this writ application is disposed of with aforesaid liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate application before the competent authority, raising all his grievances, which shall be considered by the competent authority in terms of the extant rules and in consonance with the statutory provisions in this regard.

(Rana Vikram Singh, J)

saurabh.kr/-

U			

WEB COPY