

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6742 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2025 Thana- Shahpur P.S. District- Nawada

Vipin Mehta @ Bipul Kumar Son of Upendra Mehta @ Upendra Kumar
Resident Of Village- Jagdishpur, Ps- Shahpur, Dist- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhas Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
offence under Sections 191(1), 192(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 74,
303(2), 324(4), 109, 352, 351(2) and 329(4) of the B.N.S., 2023
and subsequently Section 103(1) of BNS was added.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 02.01.2026. It is next submitted that in sum and substance
the allegation in the FIR is that in the marriage ceremony, the
named accused persons including the petitioner entered the
house of the informant and started brutally assaulting the side of
the informant and destroyed all articles which were kept for
marriage purposes worth Rs. 2,50,000/-, later on account of



assault, Sonu Kumar succumbed to his injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Sonu Kumar and Vidha Mahto @ Vidhyanand had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 70681 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 27.11.2025 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench, further Sanjeev Kumar had also approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 74962 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 26.11.2025 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner. It is also submitted that if privilege of bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with Shahpur
P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his
father namely Upendra Mehta @ Upendra Kumar.

8. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court
comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying
to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is
trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions, the learned Trial
Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the
petitioner.

**9. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands
allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

