

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7524 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2025 Thana- CHAORI District- Bhojpur

Dharmshila Kumari @ Dharmshila Devi W/O Ravi Shankar Mishra,D/O
Subash Pandey Resident of Village - Diliyan, P.S- Chauri,Dist-Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhara Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 29-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Chauri P.S. Case No. 139 of 2025 lodged on 29.08.2025, for the offences punishable under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner secured employment in the Education Department by using a forged/fabricated certificate/mark-sheet.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that the petitioner had duly appeared in the relevant examination conducted by the Bihar Intermediate Education Council, Patna, and has brought on record the admit card issued



to her. Upon her appearance in the examination, a mark-sheet was issued in her favour, and on the strength of the said mark-sheet, she applied pursuant to the concerned advertisement and was selected for the post of teacher.

5. Learned counsel further submits that the allegation regarding non-availability of the petitioner's result in the Result Tabulation Register (RTR) is the sole basis for lodging of the present FIR. It is contended that such discrepancy cannot be attributed to the petitioner and appears to be an irregularity on the part of the concerned authorities. It is also submitted that the petitioner has challenged the said action by filing CWJC No. 2246 of 2026, which is pending consideration. It is further submitted that the petitioner has already been terminated from service. She is a female aged about 47 years, having clean antecedents, and has been unnecessarily implicated in the present case for lapses, if any, on the part of the authorities concerned.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that during the course of investigation it has transpired that there is no entry relating to the petitioner's mark-sheet in the RTR. It is further submitted that the concerned school has failed to produce the relevant records and has taken a



plea that the record was damaged due to rainwater, thereby casting serious doubt on the genuineness of the petitioner's claim.

7. In the facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner be released on bail, **Subject to payment/deposit of Rs. 75,000/- (Rupees Seventy-Five Thousand only) before the learned Trial Court, which shall remain subject to the outcome of CWJC No. 2246 of 2026 and finality of the said decision,** in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹30000/- (thirty thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1) (d) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ara, in connection with the aforementioned case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

