

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7787 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-530 Year-2023 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Sushila Devi, Gender-Female, age about- 39 years, Wife of Jhunna Sah @  
Buchun Sah @ Bachchan Sah @ Buchan Sah, Resident of Village- Ward no.  
2, Laukaria, P.S.- Bairiya, Dist.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND  
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

4      18-03-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for  
the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the  
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, the informant Khalid  
Akhtar, SHO, Nautan P.S. stated that he got information that a  
dead body of a man has found near the canal.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he has  
been implicated in this case due to local village politics.  
Petitioner is in custody since 11.11.2024. He next submits that  
earlier the prayer for bail of this petitioner was rejected by this



Court passed in Cr. Misc. No. 11268 of 2025 vide order dated 14.05.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the prayer for bail of this petitioner was earlier rejected by this Court.

6. From perusal of the report of the learned trial Court dated 21.02.2026, it appears that seven chargesheet witnesses have been examined on 19.02.2026 and on the same day, the prosecution evidence closed and fixed for statement under Section 313 of the Cr.P.C. on 26.02.2026 and the trial of this case is likely to be concluded within four months and there is no fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of this petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of this petitioner is again rejected.

**(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)**

Brajesh Kumar/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

