

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6910 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2024 Thana- Charkapathar District- Jamui

Sapan Singh @ Sapan Kumar Son of Shaligram Singh Resident of Village-
Maheshwari, P.S.- Charkapathar, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2026 Heard Mr. Vijay Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
27.11.2025 in connection with Charkapathar P.S. Case No. 26 of
2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324,
307, 504, 506 and 34 of IPC.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 06.05
2024, informant Babli devi was at her House, in the meantime,
she heard Hulla Gulla from outside of her house and when son
of the informant namely Ankit Kumar went outside to see then
the F.I.R named accused persons including this petitioner
surrounded him and abused and assaulted him with fists and
slaps. On hulla, when informant came outside to save him, she



was also assaulted by Sapan Kumar (petitioner) by means of Tangi on her head twice due to which she sustained injury and became unconscious. On 07.05.2024, she was Taken to P.H.C. Sono and from where she was referred to Sadar Hospital Jamui.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent, although there is specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR of assaulting the informant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the informant has received the injury but the injury sustained is simple in nature. It is next submitted that the police has submitted charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that petitioner has clean antecedent and the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Jamui in connection with Charkapathar P.S. Case No. 26 of 2024, subject



to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

