

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8136 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-470 Year-2025 Thana- PIRPAINTI District- Bhagalpur

1. Kavita Devi @ Kavita Pandey, Wife of Ram Janm Pandey @ Ramjanam Pandey, Resident of village- Topra tola, P.S.- Pirpainti, Dist- Bhagalpur
2. Ram Janm Pandey @ Ramjanam Pandey, Son of Late Kedar Pandey, Resident of village- Topra tola, P.S.- Pirpainti, Dist- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Santosh Kumar Verma, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Pirpainti P.S. Case No. 470 of 2025, dated 18.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that petitioner No. 1 is a fifty one year old lady. He further submits that petitioner No. 2, who happens to be the husband of petitioner No. 1, was brutally assaulted by the prosecution party on the alleged day and time



of occurrence, for which Pirpanti P.S. Case No. 469 of 2025 was registered, a copy of which has been filed with this petition as Annexure-2. It is submitted that there is no serious allegation against petitioner No. 1.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the informant submits that petitioner No. 2 and co-accused Ram Vihar Pandey severely assaulted the informant, resulting in grievous injury to vital parts of the informant's body, and in this regard, the trial court's order may be perused.

5. Considering the seriousness of the allegations appearing against petitioner No. 2 who along with co-accused Ram Vihar Pandey allegedly inflicted an iron rod blow on the head of the informant, resulting in grievous injury to a vital part of his body, I am not inclined to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner No.2. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

6. Considering the role of petitioner no. 1 who is a lady in the commission of the alleged occurrence, this Court is inclined to grant her the relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, let the petitioner No.1 named-above, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing



bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Pirpainti P.S. Case No. 470 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

