

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.314 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-493 Year-2025 Thana- KANKARBAG District- Patna

=====

Bikram Kumar Yadav S/O Late Hazari Yadav R/O Village - East Ashok  
Nagar, (R.M.S. Colony, Lohiyanagar), Road No.- 14B, P.S- Kankarbagh,  
District- Patna

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Raj Kumar Ram S/o- Ram Briksha Ram Resident of R.M.S. Colony, Lohiya  
Nagar, P.S.-Kankarbagh, District-Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                         |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|
| For the Appellant/s  | : | Mr. Anirudh Kumar Sinha |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Sadanand Paswan     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      02-07-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned  
Special P.P. and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the  
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of  
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST  
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide  
order dated 21.08.2025 in A.B.P. No. 2702 of 2025 passed by  
the learned Exclusive Special Judge SC/ST Act, Civil Court  
(Sadar) Patna in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 493  
of 2025 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352 of  
the BNS, 2023 as well as Sections 3(1)(r)(s) of the SC/ST Act.



3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 06.06.2025, the appellant booked tent and other articles on the occasion of his Grih-Pravesh and paid Rs.10,000/- by way of advance on 02.06.2025, further the appellant came to the shop on 03.06.2025 and started abusing by taking caste name and even threatened and pointed pistol at him.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that a dispute arose with regard to the rate of the tent and other articles, which the appellant required for his grih-pravesh, as such an altercation took place hence the informant in anger instituted the instant case alleging that he was abused. It is further submitted that the FIR does not even remotely suggest that the occurrence was witnessed by any independent witnesses when it is being alleged that the occurrence took place at his shop.

5. Learned Special Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellant, let the appellant, above named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.5,000/- (rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

