

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7346 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2025 Thana- MOKAMAH District- Patna

Pappu Ray @ Pappu Kumar Son of Chamru Ray Resident of Village-
Kanhaipur, Ward No. 1, P.S.- Mokama, Dist.- Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kundan Kumar Ojha, Advocate Ms. Sadhna Parasher, Advocate Mr. Navneet Prabhakar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mokama P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1-B)(a) and 26 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that upon receiving information, the police raided the place of occurrence and made recovery of one country made pistol and two live cartridges from the *Dalan* of co-accused person.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No



incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner was not arrested on spot. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused person and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that the petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery of arms. It is further submitted that the petitioner himself gave information to the police about the arms and later on he has been arrested on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner is in custody since 31.10.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Mokama P.S. Case
No. 413 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

