

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6117 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-330 Year-2025 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Kabir Mandal Son of Visheshwar Mandal @ Biseshwer Madal @ Bisheshwar Mandal Resident of Village - Yogipur, Ward No. 12, Pharhi, Post - Tamganj, Police Station -Narpatganj, Dist. - Araria(Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Kumar Ravish, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rahul Raj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(1), 118(1), 109(1), 103(1), 76, 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that this petitioner, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, assaulted informant and her husband due to which, husband of informant died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on



behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case on account of long standing land dispute between the parties. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that this petitioner is alleged to have assaulted informant with kick, fist and thrown her on the ground that thereafter, this petitioner, along with co-accused Shankar Mandal strangled the husband of informant due to which he died, however, as per *post mortem* report, no visible external injuries were found on the person of the deceased, which itself negates the entire prosecution case. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, materials that have surfaced during investigation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 330 of
2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of
the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

