

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7737 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Lakhisarai

1. Suraj Kumar S/O Dinesh Kumar @ Dinesh Singh R/O Village- Kurthia, P.S- Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda, at present residing at Mohalla- Nathopur, 59 road, U-Block, phase-3, Piebaba Chowk, P.S. D.L.F. Phase-3, U-Block, Distt.- Gurgaon, 122002 (Harayana).
2. Dinesh Singh @ Dinesh Kumar S/O Late Gendhari Singh R/O Village- Kurthia, P.S- Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda, at present residing at Mohalla- Nathopur, 59 road, U-Block, phase-3, Pirbaba Chowk, P.S. D.L.F. Phase-3, U-Block, Distt.- Gurgaon, 122002 (Harayana).
3. Tara Devi W/O Dinesh Kumar @ Dinesh Singh R/O Village- Kurthia, P.S- Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda, at present residing at Mohalla- Nathopur, 59 road, U-Block, phase-3, Pirbaba Chowk, P.S. D.L.F. Phase-3, U-Block, Distt.- Gurgaon, 122002 (Harayana).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Swati Kumari W/O Suraj Kumar R/O Village- Kurthia, P.S- Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda, at present residing C/O Umesh Kumar, Village-Rampur, P.S- Suryagarha, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Dineshwar Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Choubey Jawahar, APP
For the O.P.-2	:	Mr.Ram Nibash Prasad, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 30-06-2026 Pursuant to order of this Court, the matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of Patna High Court, but the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation and as such, mediation failed.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant / opposite party no. 2.



3. Petitioner no. 1 is husband and petitioner nos. 2 & 3 are father-in-law & mother-in-law of opposite party no. 2, apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences under Sections 85, 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

4. Petitioners are alleged to have committed torture and harassment with opposite party no. 2 for dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 denies the allegation made in the F.I.R. and submits that he never committed torture to opposite party no. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner no. 1 undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the opposite party no.2.

6. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner no. 1 is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (Rupees three thousand) per month*** to the opposite party no. 2, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above-named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of ***Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand)*** each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Lakhisarai in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 58 of 2025, subject to



the conditions laid down under section 482 of the B.N.S.S. as well as on the following conditions:-

- “(1) Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her savings bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.
- (2) Petitioner no. 1, who is husband, would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the savings bank account of the opposite party no. 2.
- (3) In case, the petitioner no. 1 fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.
- (4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

