

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8031 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2025 Thana- JAMUI District- Jamui

Sudhir Kumar @ Sudhir Yadav S/o Mahendra Yadav Resident of Village-
Lakhan Dhanma, P.S.- Jamui, District- Jamui, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Archana Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Alok Kumar Shahi, Advocate Miss Nisha Kumari, Advocate Mrs. Komal Raj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 80 and 3 (5) of the BNS and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the sister of the informant, namely Shivarthi Kumari (deceased), was married to the petitioner in the year 2021. It is further alleged that she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand. On 01.01.2025, it is alleged that all the in-laws hanged the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that from perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. From perusal of the post-mortem report, it transpires that the doctor conducting the autopsy of the deceased found a ligature mark, ½ inch wide present around the neck above the thyroid cartilage. The knot mark was present on the right side of the neck. Patecheal hemorrhage was present around the ligature mark. No other ante-mortem injury was found.

5. It has been submitted that if the story of the prosecution is taken to be true and the lady was hanged by her in-laws, there must have been some marks of resistance resulting in injuries, which are not present in postmortem report.

6. It is further submitted that the deceased actually committed suicide. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the case diary, the location of the petitioner's mobile phone was found at a different place, which shows that the petitioner was not present in the house at the time of the occurrence. In any view of the matter, the case appears to be a case of suicide.

7. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.



8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025 (S.Tr. No. 431 of 2025) on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge- VIII, Jamui.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

