

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6505 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-273 Year-2025 Thana- RAUTA District- Purnia

Krishna Paswan @ Krishn Paswan @ Krishna Kumar S/O Appu Paswan
Resident of village- Millan Palli, Ward No. 18 P.S.- Kishanganj District-
Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manju Devi W/o Rachandra Ram R/o Runki Ward No. 10, P.S. Routa,
District- Purnea.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amal Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, no one has appeared
on behalf of OP No. 2.

3. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
18.11.2025 in connection with Routta P.S. Case No. 273 of 2025
for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 3(5) of
BNS and under Section 4 of POCSO Act.

4. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 01.11.2025
at about 2 pm informant's minor daughter aged about 14 years
old went to Runki Chowk to buy household items. But she did
not return home. Despite extensive searches, she was nowhere



to be found. Her daughter had been living with her aunt for the post six years in Kishanganj and she had come to her village for Chhath Puja. The informant called her sister- in-law and informed her about her disappearance, she told that a boy Krishna Paswan (petitioner) had been harassing her for some time. She had informed the accused father and mother about this matter, they had promised not to harass her in the future. When the sister- in-law went to Krishna Paswan's house to look for him, but he was not there. When she inquired with his parents, they started abusing him. Then the informant became completely convinced that Krishna Paswan, with the help of his father Appu Paswan, mother Kranti Devi and Aruna Kumari D/o Appu Paswan has kidnapped her minor daughter with wrong intentions.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is next submitted that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS has not supported the case of the prosecution, it is next submitted that police after investigation



has submitted charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 18.11.2025.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS has not supported the case of the prosecution, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Purnea in connection with Routta P.S. Case No. 273 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

