

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6286 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-386 Year-2023 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Lakhisarai

Manoj Kumar S/o- Arjun Saw Village- Bihta, P. S. - Sheikhpura, District-
Sheikhpura, at present R/O- Naya Bazar, Astghatti Mor ward no. 21,
Hanuman Nagar, P. S. and District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sudhir Yadav S/o- Bisheshwar Yadav R/v- Gidhha Ps- Halsi Dist- Lakhisarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint
case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420,
467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, complainant alleged that
one Sudhir Yadav sold the land of complainant in favour of co-
accused Khushbu Kumari.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has



committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of co-accused Khushnu Kumari, who is *bona fide* purchaser of the land in question and has purchased the same after due payment of consideration money. It is further submitted that complainant has also filed a title suit bearing Title Suit No. 30 of 2024 before the learned Sub Judge-1st, Lakhisarai for cancellation of the sale deed in question in which notices have been issued. Moreover, the dispute is with regard to sale and purchase of land which is purely civil in nature and none of the acts allegedly committed by this petitioner would give rise to any criminal liability. It is further submitted that co-accused Khushbu Kumari ha already been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 16.09.2025 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 64334 of 2025*. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation, claim based on parity and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.



7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Lakhisarai in connection with Complaint Case No. 386 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

