

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6512 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-613 Year-2025 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

-
1. Kanti Devi W/o Sunil Paswan R/o vill - Kadi Bigha, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), Distt.- Nalanda
 2. Hira Devi W/o Santosh Paswan R/o vill - Kadi Bigha, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), Distt.- Nalanda
 3. Sailun Devi W/o Dharmendra Paswan R/o vill - Kadi Bigha, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Dimpal Kumari, Advocate
	:	Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

5 01-04-2026 Heard Ms. Dimpal Kumari, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rahui (Bhagan Bigha) P.S. Case No. 613 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 117(2), 351, 351(2), 109(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., lodged on 21.10.2025 by the informant Nitish Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that all the named accused persons including the petitioners herein due to the dispute started throwing bricks and stones from the rooftop causing injury to Maro Devi, Nitish Kumar and other family members. They were shifted to Bihar Sharif Sadar



Hospital and then to Pawapuri Hospital. Accordingly, the F.I.R..

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that omnibus allegation against all the accused including these petitioners who are ladies.

5. Learned APP on the other hand taken this Court to the injury report to show that injuries of both Nitish Kumar and Maro Devi have been found to be grievous in nature and these petitioners cannot be segregated from the other accused persons as allegation of assault is against all the accused persons.

6. Though the petitioners are ladies, having no criminal antecedent, in view of the fact allegation is common against all of them as recorded above, they cannot be segregated from the other accused persons, in that background, this Court is not inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. However, if the petitioner surrender within a period of four weeks, file bail application, the court concerned shall consider the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

