

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8323 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-572 Year-2024 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

-
1. Rampani Rai @ Rampani Kumar Son of Late Bharath Rai R/o Village - Sabalpur Hastitola, P.S. - Sonpur, District - Saran.
 2. Shyam Narayan Rai Son of Late Bharath Rai R/o Village - Sabalpur Hastitola, P.S. - Sonpur, District - Saran.
 3. Ranjan Kumar Son of Jhimi Rai R/o Village - Sabalpur Hastitola, P.S. - Sonpur, District - Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 03-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No. 572 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 103, 238, 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Earlier vide order dated 10.10.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 45049 of 2025, anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected by this Court.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioners along with other co-accused persons committed murder of



informant's son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It is further submitted that neither any specific allegation levelled against the petitioners nor there is any eye witness to the said occurrence. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioners are in custody since 03.11.2025 and petitioner Nos. 1 & 3 bear four criminal antecedents each, whereas petitioner No.2 bears two criminal antecedents. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 23.07.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 22153 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioners as also claim based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case
No. 572 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

