

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8096 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

Rohit Kumar S/o- Sikendra Kumar @ Sikandar Ram village- Fatehpur P S-
Imamganj District- Gaya Ji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate Ms. Akanksha Verma, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Bhushan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. Akanksha Verma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Prakash Kumar, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Patna Mahila P.S. Case No. 113 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 69 and 351(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The facts reveal that the petitioner had allegedly established physical relationship with the informant on the pretext of marriage and when the petitioner refused to marry, the present FIR has been lodged.

4. It has been informed by the parties that mediation



has failed as the petitioner has refused to make payment of rupees four lacs as demanded by the informant, however, as per the instruction, he is ready to pay rupees two lacs to the informant, which is denied by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

5. Considering the admitted relationship between the petitioner and the informant, I find that the matter can be resolved amicably between the parties outside the Court by way of mediation.

6. The learned District Court is directed to consider the case of the petitioner in light of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Naim Ahamed vs. State (NCT of Delhi)* reported in *(2023) 15 SCC 385* in view of the admitted relationship of the petitioner and informant.

7. The parties have willingly desired to appear before the learned District Court on 08.07.2026, so that the matter can be referred to the District Mediation Centre.

8. The learned District Court, thereafter, upon appearance of the parties, take necessary steps to refer the matter before the learned Mediator of the District Mediation Center by fixing a date for appearance of the parties to give effect to Mediation 2.0.



9. For the aforesaid reason, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released **provisionally** on such terms and conditions as the learned District Court deems it fit and proper.

10. In the event a joint compromise petition is filed by both parties, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner shall be made absolute by the learned District Court on such terms and conditions as the learned District Court deems it fit and proper.

11. In case of failure on part of the informant/complainant, the learned District Court is directed to make the provisional bail absolute, or it is, otherwise, on the part of the petitioner then the interim relief granted to the petitioner shall lose its force and the learned trial Court may proceed with trial in accordance with law.

12. The bail applications stand disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

